

REMARKS

The submission of the Request for Continued Examination filed herewith is in response to the Official Action (Final Rejection) dated April 4, 2001. Claims 26, 27, 29, 32 33, 36, 38-47 have been canceled, without prejudice.

It is believed that the filing of a Request for Continued Examination and new claims is better suited to focus the prosecution of the application.


A new set of claims 48-68 is submitted. These claims largely parallel the original claims but have language corrections.

Minor corrections are made to the Specification at page 23, line 15. These are explanatory in nature and do not constitute new matter.

An exhibit is attached which is a diagrammatic representation of one possible arrangement for carrying out the subject matter of the invention. This more graphically explains the invention.

Prompt and favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Gordon D. Coplein
Reg. No. 19,165
Attorney for Applicants

DARBY & DARBY, P.C.
805 Third Avenue
New York, N.Y. 10022
Phone (212) 527-7700

097110D105RMB0005.WPD

Serial No. 08/995,715
Response to Office Action dated April 4, 2001


Docket No. 0971/0D319
Page 9




RECEIVED

AUG 09 2001


Technology Center 2600




generating one of 1,2...N complimentary screen RE's (pixels)



forming P copies of said RE (one for one of P blocks)




modulating each copy independently



displaying said P blocks /in a non interlaced manner/

details of block



each of blocks is formed by all of activated complimentary screen pixels

II. Claim 42: a), b) - same as in claim 40,

